



	AR Educator Preparation Provider Quality Report						
Henderson State University							
Provider Type	Program Type Admissions Selectivity Accreditation Status Accreditation Date						
Independent	Alternative	Open Enrollment	Initial CAEP Application				
Public ┥	Traditional	Moderately Selective <	CAEP Accredited				
	Both Selective NCATE Accredited October 2011						
	Highly Selective State Reviewed						

Table of Contents

Table of Contents	1
I. Definitions	1
II. Arkansas Educator Workforce Data	1
II.a. Teacher Program Candidates	1
II.b. Administrator Program Candidates	2
II.c. Teacher Program Completers	2
II.d. Administrator Program Completers	2
II.e. Arkansas Public Schools (APS) and EPPs by Gender and by Race (2016-17)	2
III. Addressing Shortage Areas	2
IV. First-year Program Completers Employed in Arkansas Public Schools (APS)	2
V. Licensure Test Pass Rates	3
VI. Novice Teacher Survey Data - Perceptions of Preparation	
VII. Employer/Supervisor Survey Data	4
VIII. Partnerships for Clinical Preparation	
IX. Minority Recruitment	5

I. Definitions

- <u>Alternative Educator Preparation Program</u> a post-baccalaureate preparation program designed for individuals seeking licensure as a teacher whose undergraduate, or post-baccalaureate degree is not in educator preparation.
- Educator Preparation Provider (EPP) institutions or organizations that offer teacher preparation programs. Educator preparation providers can be Institutions of Higher Education (IHEs) offering traditional programs, IHEs offering Alternative programs, or organizations not based at IHEs offering Alternative programs.

Educator Preparation Programs – individual license areas offered under the auspices of each EPP.

Programs offered by this provider are on the <u>ADE Routes to Teacher Licensure/Preparation Web Page</u> under the heading "Find an Approved Educator Preparation Program".

<u>HEA Title II (Title II of the Higher Education Act of 1965)</u> – In October 1998 Congress reauthorized the Higher Education Act, in which, Sections 207 and 208 of Title II reflect the efforts of States, IHEs, and their school district partners to improve the recruitment, preparation, and support of new teachers. The annual federal HEA Title II Report includes requirements, conditions, and specifications related to teacher preparation and licensure for EPPs and states.

<u>IHE</u> – Institution of Higher Education (college or university).

Licensure Tests – examinations taken by educator candidates before entering the teaching profession (required by many states, including Arkansas) as part of the licensure process.

Program Candidate – an individual who has been admitted into an educator preparation program.

<u>Program Completer</u> – a person who has met all the requirements of a state-approved educator preparation program. Program completers include all those who are documented as having met such requirements. Documentation may take the form of a degree, institutional certificate, program credential, transcript, or other written proof of having met the program's requirements.
 <u>Traditional Educator Preparation Program</u> – an undergraduate or graduate program of study at an IHE that prepares candidates for licensure as a teacher (or other school professional) and includes a supervised clinical experience (student teaching).

II. Arkansas Educator Workforce Data

II.a. Teacher Program Candidates

	14-15 SY		15-10	15-16 SY 16-1		7 SY	Average		% of State Total *	
	Traditional	Alternative	Traditional	Alternative	Traditional	Alternative	Traditional	Alternative	Traditional	Alternative
HSU	163	20	150	50	109	92	140.7	54	3.8%	1.4%
HSU Total	18	33	20	00	20)1	194	4.7	5.2	2%
State	2,580	1,364	2,324	1,413	2,209	1,354	2,371	1,3877	63.3%	36.7%
State Total	3,9)44	3,7	3,737 3,563 3,748		'48	10	0%		
Source: HEA Title II	Source: HEA Title II reports									

* = % of State Total; not % of Traditional or Alternative



II.b. Administrator Program Candidates

	14-15 SY	15-16 SY	16-17 SY	Average	% of State Avg.			
District Le	District Level							
HSU	16	22	16	18.0	5.1%			
State	556	238	259	351.0	100%			
Building L	evel							
HSU	52	47	33	44.0	2.5%			
State	944	2,095	2,292	1777.0	100%			
Curriculur	n Program Administrator							
HSU	12	4	18	11.3	2.5%			
State	201	479	666	448.7	100%			

Source: Data submitted to ADE by EPP

II.c. Teacher Program Completers

	14-15 SY		15-1	15-16 SY 16-17 SY		7 SY	Average		% of State Total *	
	Traditional	Alternative	Traditional	Alternative	Traditional	Alternative	Traditional	Alternative	Traditional	Alternative
HSU	102	11	95	5	92	12	96.3	9.3	4.8%	0.5%
HSU Total	1 <i>*</i>	13	10	00	10)4	10	5.6	5.3	3%
State	1,559	618	1,385	519	1,382	508	1,442	555	72.2%	27.8%
State Total	2,1	77	1,9	04	1,9	08	1,9	96	10	0%

Source: HEA Title II reports

* = % of State Total; not % of Traditional or Alternative

II.d. Administrator Program Completers

	14-15 SY	15-16 SY	16-17 SY	Average	% of State Avg.			
District Le	District Level							
HSU	4	11	10	8.3	4.8%			
State	331	83	108	174	100%			
Building L	evel							
HSU	9	22	24	18.3	2.7%			
State	378	701	943	674	100%			
Curriculur	m Program Administrator							
HSU	2	5	6	4.3	2.9%			
State	86	130	228	148	100%			

Source: Data submitted to ADE by EPP

II.e. Arkansas Public Schools (APS) and EPPs by Gender and by Race (2016-17)

	Total	Male	Female	Gender not known	Asian	Black	Hispanic	Multi- racial	Native Amer.	Pacific IsI.	White	Race not known	% Non- White *
APS Students	477,268	244,903	232,365		7,819	97,133	60,390	11,312	3,042	3,585	293,987		38.90%
APS Teachers	32,818	7,752	25,066		117	2,696	93	231	182	11	29,488		10.50%
HSU Candidates	201	62	139		1	30	5	6	4	1	154		23.40%
HSU Completers	104	31	73		1	7		4	3		88	1	14.60%

Source: ADE Data Center and 2018 Title II Report

* = % of those whose race was known

III. Addressing Shortage Areas

Does the EPP have a plan for addressing geographic or academic shortage areas, and if so, what are the goals in that regard? <u>EPP response:</u>

HSU has several strategic plans in place to increase the enrollment of candidates in high needs areas. Specific goals include:

• Increase number of math and science candidates by 40%

• Increase number of ESL endorsement candidates by 20%

Increase number of SPED candidates by 10%

Source: EPP submission to ADE

IV. First-year Program Completers Employed in Arkansas Public Schools (APS)

	Number of completers in the license area from the EPP in 2016-17 SY	Number of completers in license area who were working in APS in the 2017-18 SY	% of completers in license area who were working in APS in the 2017-18 SY
Art	8	7	88%
Biology	2	2	100%
ECH/Special Ed	1	0	0%
Elementary	35	25	71%
English	5	3	60%
FACS	1	1	100%
Mathematics	2	2	100%
MCE	11	9	82%



2018 Arkansas EPPQR Henderson State University

Music	12	10	83%
Phys. Ed.	18	15	83%
Social Studies	6	4	67%
Special Ed	3	2	67%
HSU	104	80	77%
All Arkansas EPPs	1,890	1,156	61%

Source: ADE Research and Technology and data submitted by EPP

V. Licensure Test Pass Rates

The ETS[®] licensure tests reported here are those assessments that are required for educator licensure in Arkansas. Pass rates reflect the tests taken between 9/1/16 and 8/31/17, and the numbers passing each test. If a candidate took a test more than once, only the highest score is reported. Arkansas EPPs had the opportunity to verify that their candidates took the tests listed for their EPP and that those candidates were prepared in the content area.

Test #	Test Name	n	Mean	#	%	State Pass
163(#	restruaine		Score	Pass	Pass	Rate (%)
5134	Art: Content Knowledge	0	*	*	*	62.0
5101	Business Ed: Content Knowledge	1	*	*	*	96.8
5651	Computer Science	1	*	*	*	46.2
5004	Elem Ed: MS Social Studies Subtest	40	159.5	30	75.0	75.7
5003	Elem. Ed: Multiple Subj. Mathematics Subtest	41	174.1	39	95.1	90.4
5002	Elem. Ed: Multiple Subj. Reading Lang Arts Subtest	37	168.5	34	91.9	88.2
5005	Elem. Ed: Multiple Subj. Science Subtest	42	164.6	35	83.3	80.4
5039	English Language Arts: Content and Analysis	4	*	*	*	74.4
5362	English to Speakers of Other Languages	2	*	*	*	98.7
5122	Family and Consumer Sciences	2	*	*	*	97.3
5857	Health and Physical Ed: Content Knowledge	20	161.6	16	80.0	84.7
5161	Mathematics: Content Knowledge	2	*	*	*	43.9
5047	Middle School English Language Arts	5	165.8	3	60.0	56.4
5169	Middle School Mathematics	13	169.8	9	69.2	63.9
5440	Middle School Science	10	140.7	4	40.0	58.6
5089	Middle School Social Studies	17	158.2	12	70.6	78.5
5113	Music: Content Knowledge	10	166.5	10	100.0	88.2
5265	Physics: Content Knowledge	2	*	*	*	55.0
5621	Principles of Learning and Teaching: Early Childhood	15	171.1	15	100.0	95.4
5623	Principles of Learning and Teaching: Grades 5-9	13	171.7	12	92.3	92.2
5624	Principles of Learning and Teaching: Grades 7-12	54	170.4	51	94.4	94.8
5622	Principles of Learning and Teaching: Grades K-6	34	176.8	33	97.1	95.4
5421	Professional School Counselor	6	171.5	6	100.0	94.1
6011	School Leaders Licensure Assessment	19	173.5	19	100.0	91.7
6021	School Superintendent Assessment	8	163.4	7	87.5	93.5
5086	Social Studies: Content and Interpretation	10	151.4	5	50.0	68.5
5354	Special Ed: Core Knowledge Applications	9	172.1	9	100.0	98.6

Source: ETS[®] Data Manager * = calculations were not performed if n < 5

VI. Novice Teacher Survey Data - Perceptions of Preparation

First-year teachers complete a Novice Teacher Survey at the end of their first year of teaching to identify perceptions of their educator preparation experience based on the four TESS "Framework for Teaching" domains. The 2018 questions and results are presented below.

Respondents were asked to rate the statements based on how well they felt their EPP prepared them in each category for their first year of teaching. SCALE: 1 = Not prepared in this area 2 = Minimally prepared 3 = Adequately prepared 4 = Well prepared

Domain	Category	Traditional Preparation	State scores
		(n=28)	(n=921)
1a.	Knowledge of content and pedagogy	3.29	3.17
1b.	Knowledge of students	3.25	3.25
1c.	Instructional outcomes	3.00	3.05
1d.	Knowledge of resources	3.07	3.07
1e.	Coherent instruction	3.29	3.12
1f.	Student assessments	3.14	3.02
2a.	Environment of respect and rapport	3.50	3.33
2b.	Culture for learning	3.32	3.25
2c.	Managing classroom procedures	2.96	3.02
2d.	Managing student behavior	2.82	2.90
2e.	Organizing physical space	3.25	3.14
3a.	Communicating with students	3.21	3.26
3b.	Questioning and discussion techniques	3.14	3.07
3c.	Engaging students in learning	3.07	3.15
3d.	Using assessment in instruction	3.04	3.05
3e.	Flexibility and responsiveness	3.25	3.21
4a.	Reflecting on teaching	3.43	3.27
4b.	Maintaining accurate records	3.07	3.06
4c.	Communicating with families	2.93	3.00
4d.	Participating in professional community	3.29	3.24
4e.	Growing and developing professionally	3.32	3.29
4f.	Showing professionalism	3.61	3.48
	Avg. of all 22 items	3.19	3.15

Source: ADE Novice Teacher Survey - Spring 2018



Domain	Category	Alternative Preparation (n=14)	State scores (n=921)
1a.	Knowledge of content and pedagogy	3.14	3.17
1b.	Knowledge of students	3.21	3.25
1c.	Instructional outcomes	3.07	3.05
1d.	Knowledge of resources	2.93	3.07
1e.	Coherent instruction	2.86	3.12
1f.	Student assessments	2.86	3.02
2a.	Environment of respect and rapport	3.21	3.33
2b.	Culture for learning	3.29	3.25
2c.	Managing classroom procedures	2.93	3.02
2d.	Managing student behavior	3.00	2.90
2e.	Organizing physical space	2.93	3.14
3a.	Communicating with students	3.14	3.26
3b.	Questioning and discussion techniques	3.21	3.07
3c.	Engaging students in learning	3.14	3.15
3d.	Using assessment in instruction	2.79	3.05
3e.	Flexibility and responsiveness	3.14	3.21
4a.	Reflecting on teaching	2.86	3.27
4b.	Maintaining accurate records	2.93	3.06
4c.	Communicating with families	3.07	3.00
4d.	Participating in professional community	3.14	3.24
4e.	Growing and developing professionally	3.21	3.29
4f.	Showing professionalism	3.43	3.48
	Avg. of all 22 items	3.07	3.15

Source: ADE Novice Teacher Survey - Spring 2018

VII. Employer/Supervisor Survey Data

The supervisors of first-year teachers complete a "Supervisor Perception of Novice Teacher Preparation Survey" at the end of the novice teachers' first year of teaching. The purpose of the survey is to identify supervisor perceptions of their novice teachers' educator preparation experience base on the four TESS "Framework for Teaching" domains. The spring 2018 questions and results are presented below. For logistical reasons, traditional and alternative preparation programs could not be separated.

Respondents were asked to rate the statements based on how well they felt EPPs prepared teachers in each category for their first year of teaching. SCALE: 1 = Not prepared in this area 2 = Minimally prepared 3 = Adequately prepared 4 = Well prepared

Domain	Category	HSU	State scores
		(n=20)	(n=443)
1a.	Knowledge of content and pedagogy	3.45	3.49
1b.	Knowledge of students	3.50	3.42
1c.	Instructional outcomes	3.15	3.32
1d.	Knowledge of resources	3.55	3.43
1e.	Coherent instruction	3.45	3.42
1f.	Student assessments	3.30	3.27
2a.	Environment of respect and rapport	3.50	3.48
2b.	Culture for learning	3.45	3.40
2c.	Managing classroom procedures	3.21	3.26
2d.	Managing student behavior	3.05	3.13
2e.	Organizing physical space	3.55	3.54
За.	Communicating with students	3.55	3.44
3b.	Questioning and discussion techniques	3.15	3.23
3c.	Engaging students in learning	3.45	3.33
3d.	Using assessment in instruction	3.30	3.21
3e.	Flexibility and responsiveness	3.40	3.38
4a.	Reflecting on teaching	3.40	3.38
4b.	Maintaining accurate records	3.55	3.46
4c.	Communicating with families	3.40	3.30
4d.	Participating in professional community	3.40	3.44
4e.	Growing and developing professionally	3.40	3.46
4f.	Showing professionalism	3.55	3.56
Avg. of all 22 items		3.40	3.38

Source: ADE Novice Teacher Supervisor Survey - Spring 2018

VIII. Partnerships for Clinical Preparation

Does the EPP have P-12 school and/or community partnerships? If so, please describe how the partnerships establish mutually agreeable expectations for candidate entry, preparation, and exit, to ensure that theory and practice are linked, and share accountability for candidate outcomes.

EPP response:

Several P-12 school/community partnerships are in place. These include advisory boards, Teacher Education Council membership, and joint Task Force Committees. Membership of these groups includes both EPP and P-12 school/community representatives. They are tasked to create authentic ongoing assessments and experiences, monitor candidate progress, evaluate candidates in the field, make recommendations about candidates' admission and continued participation in the program, and suggest changes for continued improvement.

Source: EPP submission to ADE



IX. Minority Recruitment

Are there strategies in place to increase the number of minority teachers? If so, please describe these strategies.

- EPP response: Partnerships with P-12 schools that are racially diverse Teacher Cadet program

Educators Rising program